



ELSEVIER

Discrete Mathematics 240 (2001) 197–204

DISCRETE
MATHEMATICS

www.elsevier.com/locate/disc

An extension theorem for t -designs[☆]

Michel Sebille

Département de Mathématiques, Campus Plaine C.P. 216, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Boulevard du Triomphe B - 1050 Bruxelles, Belgium

Received 4 January 2000; revised 1 August 2000; accepted 28 August 2000

Abstract

In 1994, van Trung (Discrete Math. 128 (1994) 337–348) [9] proved that if, for some positive integers d and h , there exists an $S_{\lambda}(t, k, v)$ such that

$$\frac{\lambda_0^2}{v-k+1} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq l < d \\ k-h \leq l \leq k}} \binom{k}{l} \binom{v-k+1}{k-l} ((v-k)^2 + (v-l)) < \binom{v}{k},$$

then there exists an $S_{\lambda(v-t+1)}(t, k, v+1)$ having $v+1$ pairwise disjoint subdesigns $S_{\lambda}(t, k, v)$. Moreover, if B_i and B_j are any two blocks belonging to two distinct such subdesigns, then $d \leq |B_i \cap B_j| < k-h$. In 1999, Baudet and Sebille (J. Combin. Des. 7 (1999) 107–112) proved that if, for some positive integers, there exists an $S_{\lambda}(t, k, v)$ such that

$$\lambda_0 \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{v}{i} \binom{s}{i} \lambda_{0,n} < \binom{v}{k},$$

where $m = \min\{s, v-k\}$ and $n = \min\{i, t\}$, then there exists an

$$S_{\lambda} \left(\binom{v+s}{s} \right) (t, k, v+s)$$

having $\binom{v+s}{s}$ pairwise disjoint subdesigns $S_{\lambda}(t, k, v)$. The purpose of this paper is to generalize these two constructions in order to produce a new recursive construction of t -designs and a new extension theorem of t -designs. © 2001 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: t -design; Recursive construction; Extension of designs

1. Introduction

A t -(v, k, λ) design (or simply t -design), denoted by $S_{\lambda}(t, k, v)$, is a pair (X, \mathcal{B}) where X is a set of v elements called *points* and \mathcal{B} is a collection of k -subsets of X (called

[☆] This work is supported by the Fonds pour la formation à la Recherche dans l'Industrie et dans l'Agriculture. E-mail address: msebille@cso.ulb.ac.be (M. Sebille).

blocks) such that every t -subset of X is contained in λ blocks of \mathcal{B} . A t -design with $\lambda = 1$ is called a *Steiner system* and denoted simply by $S(t, k, v)$. A t -design is *trivial* if every k -subset of X is a block of \mathcal{B} . All the designs considered in this paper will be non-trivial and *simple*, that is without repeated blocks.

In a t -design (X, \mathcal{B}) , let I be a set of i points, J a set of j points ($I \cap J = \emptyset$) and $\lambda_{i,j}$ the number of blocks containing I and disjoint from J ; it is well-known that

$$\lambda_{i,j} = \lambda \binom{v-i-j}{k-i} / \binom{v-t}{k-t} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i+j \leq t.$$

$\lambda_{i,0}$ is denoted simply by λ_i (note that $\lambda_t = \lambda$ and that λ_0 is the total number of blocks of the design). It follows that any $S_\lambda(t, k, v)$ is also an $S_{\lambda_i}(i, k, v)$ for $0 \leq i \leq t$. An $S_\lambda(t, k, v)$ in which $i \leq |B \cap B'| < j$ for all $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$ will be denoted by $S_\lambda(t, k, v) \text{int}(i, j)$; for example, any simple t -design is an $S_\lambda(t, k, v) \text{int}(0, k)$. If Y is a non-empty subset of X of size $m \leq t$, we define

$$\mathcal{B}^Y = \{B \setminus Y \mid B \in \mathcal{B} \text{ and } Y \subset B\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}_Y = \{B \mid B \in \mathcal{B} \text{ and } B \cap Y = \emptyset\}.$$

It is well-known that $(X \setminus Y, \mathcal{B}^Y)$ is an $S_\lambda(t-m, k-m, v-m)$ called an m th *derived design* of the $S_\lambda(t, k, v)$, and that $(X \setminus Y, \mathcal{B}_Y)$ is an

$$S_\lambda \binom{v-t}{k-t+m} / \binom{v-t}{k-t} (t-m, k, v-m)$$

called an m th *residual design* of the $S_\lambda(t, k, v)$.

A design is *resolvable* if \mathcal{B} is the union of pairwise disjoint $S(1, k, v)$'s called *parallel classes*. Such a partition of \mathcal{B} is called a *resolution*. Two resolutions $\{P_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ and $\{Q_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq n}$ of the same design are said to be *mutually orthogonal* iff P_i and Q_j have at most one block in common for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

An $S_{\lambda'}(t', k, v')$ (X', \mathcal{B}') is a *subdesign* of an $S_\lambda(t, k, v)(X, \mathcal{B})$ ($v' \leq v$) iff $X' \subset X$ and $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{B}'$. In a resolvable design, the parallel classes are subdesigns. Two subdesigns (X', \mathcal{B}') and (X'', \mathcal{B}'') are said to be *disjoint* iff $\mathcal{B}' \cap \mathcal{B}'' = \emptyset$.

In 1987, Teirlinck [7] has proved the existence of a t -design for every $t \geq 1$, but the construction of t -designs when $t \geq 4$ is still a difficult problem. In order to construct such designs, there are two well-known methods, the *recursive constructions* which produce a t -design from some other t -designs and the *extension theorems* which produce a t' -design from some t -designs ($t < t'$). The following constructions are well-known:

Theorem 1 (van Trung [9]). *If, for some positive integers d and h , there exists an $S_\lambda(t, k, v)$ such that*

$$\frac{\lambda_0^2}{v-k+1} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq l < d \\ k-h \leq l \leq k}} \binom{k}{l} \binom{v-k+1}{k-l} ((v-k)^2 + (v-l)) < \binom{v}{k},$$

then there exists an $S_{\lambda(v-t+1)}(t, k, v+1)$ having $v+1$ pairwise disjoint subdesigns $S_{\lambda}(t, k, v)$. Moreover, if B_i and B_j are any two blocks belonging to two distinct such subdesigns, then $d \leq |B_i \cap B_j| < k - h$.

Theorem 2 (Baudet and Sebille [2]). *If, for some integer s , there exists an $S_{\lambda}(t, k, v)$ such that*

$$\lambda_0 \sum_{i=1}^m \binom{v}{i} \binom{s}{i} \lambda_{0,n} < \binom{v}{k},$$

where $m = \min\{s, v - k\}$ and $n = \min\{i, t\}$, then there exists an

$$S_{\lambda} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} v-t+s \\ s \end{smallmatrix} \right) (t, k, v+s)$$

having $\binom{v+s}{s}$ pairwise disjoint subdesigns $S_{\lambda}(t, k, v)$.

Theorem 3 (Alltop [1]). *Any resolvable $S_{\lambda}(t, k, 2k)$ with t even is also a resolvable $S_{\lambda(k-t)/(v-t)}(t+1, k, 2k)$.*

In the rest of this paper, we will always assume that

- (i) $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{v+s}\}$,
- (ii) m, n and s are integers such that $0 < m \leq \min(n, s)$,
- (iii) $X_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s} = X \setminus \{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_s}\}$ where $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_s \leq v + s$,
- (iv) $(X_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}, \mathcal{B}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s})$ is an $S_{\lambda}(t, k, v)$,
- (v) $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s} \mathcal{B}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}$,
- (vi) $\mathcal{B}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s} \cap \mathcal{B}_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_s} \neq \emptyset$ iff $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s\} = \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_s\}$.

The purpose of this paper is to generalize Theorems 1 and 2, and to prove the following:

Theorem 4. *If (X, \mathcal{B}) is an $S_{\lambda} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} v-t+s \\ s \end{smallmatrix} \right) (t, k, v+s)$ such that*

- (i) t is even,
- (ii) for every $\{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_s}\} \subset X$, $(X_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}, \mathcal{B}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s})$ is a resolvable $S_{\lambda}(t, k, v)$ having exactly q mutually orthogonal resolutions,
- (iii) if $B \in \mathcal{B}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}$, $B' \in \mathcal{B}_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_s}$ and $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s\} \neq \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_s\}$, then $d \leq |B \cap B'| < k - n$,
- (iv) $m|s$, $s/m = v/k$ and $2(k+m) = v+s$,
- (v) the unique trivial $S(m, m, s)$ has exactly q' mutually orthogonal resolutions,

then there exists a resolvable $S_{\lambda'}(t+1, k+m, v+s)$ having at least qq' mutually orthogonal resolutions, with

$$\lambda' = \lambda(k+m-t) \binom{v-t+s}{s} \binom{s}{m} \binom{k+m}{t} / (v+s-t) \binom{k}{t}.$$

Moreover, this design has exactly qq' mutually orthogonal resolutions if $d > 0$.

Although the conditions imposed on (X, \mathcal{B}) look very strong, we will see that such designs can actually be constructed using theorems of van Trung [8,9], Magliveras and Plambeck [6], Baudet and Seville [2], as well as Theorem 5 of this paper.

2. Some recursive constructions

We will use the following:

Lemma 1 (van Trung [9]). *Let (P, \mathcal{D}) be an $S_\lambda(t, k, v)$, let D be a d -subset of P and let $B \in \mathcal{D}$. Then the number of permutations $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(P)$ such that $|D^\sigma \cap B| = l$ where $0 \leq l \leq \min\{d, k\}$ is equal to*

$$\binom{d}{l} \binom{k}{l} \binom{v-k}{d-l} l!(d-l)!(v-d)!$$

Theorem 5. *If, for some positive integers d and h , there exists an $S_\lambda(t, k, v)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_0 \sum_{n=0}^{s-1} \binom{s}{n} \binom{v}{n} \sum_{n'=0}^{s-1} \binom{n}{n'} \lambda_{n', t-n'} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq l < d \\ k-h \leq l \leq k}} \binom{v-k+n'}{k-l} \binom{k-n'}{l} \\ < \binom{v}{k}, \end{aligned}$$

then there exists an

$$S_\lambda\left(\begin{smallmatrix} v+s \\ s \end{smallmatrix}\right)(t, k, v+s) \text{ having } \binom{v+s}{s}$$

pairwise disjoint subdesigns $S_\lambda(t, k, v)$. Moreover, if B_i and B_j are any two blocks belonging to two distinct such subdesigns, then $d \leq |B_i \cap B_j| < k - h$. Note that if $n' > t$, then $\lambda_{n', t-n'} = \lambda_t$.

Proof. We will first examine under which conditions we can construct $\binom{v+s}{s}$ pairwise disjoint $S_\lambda(t, k, v)$ designs $(X_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}, \mathcal{B}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s})$ such that if $B \in \mathcal{B}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}$ and $B' \in \mathcal{B}_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_s}$ with $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s\} \neq \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_s\}$, then $d \leq |B \cap B'| < k - h$. For a fixed $\mathcal{B}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}$, let us try to find an upper bound for the number of permutations g of the points of $X_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_s}$ for which there is an l -subset L of $B \in \mathcal{B}_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_s}$ ($l \in [0, d] \cup [k - h, k]$) such that $g(L) \subset B'$ for some $B' \in \mathcal{B}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}$.

Suppose that $\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_s\}$ has exactly $s - n$ elements in $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s\}$ and n elements outside. There are $\binom{s}{n} \binom{v}{n}$ such sets and at most $\binom{n}{n'} \lambda_{n', t-n'}$ blocks of $(X_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}, \mathcal{B}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s})$ intersecting $\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_s\} \setminus \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s\}$ in n' points. Thus, using Lemma 1, there are

at most

$$\lambda_0 \sum_{n'=0}^n \binom{n}{n'} \lambda_{n',t-n'} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq l < d \\ k-h \leq l \leq k}} \binom{k-n'}{l} \binom{k}{l} \binom{v-k}{k-n'-l} \\ l!(k-n'-l)!(v-k+n')!$$

permutations of $X_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_s}$ which map at least one l -subset of a block of $\mathcal{B}_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_s}$ onto an l -subset of a block of $\mathcal{B}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}$. Therefore, in order to be able to construct $\binom{v+s}{s}$ pairwise disjoint such t -designs $(X_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}, \mathcal{B}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s})$, it suffices that

$$\lambda_0 \sum_{n=0}^{s-1} \binom{s}{n} \binom{v}{n} \sum_{n'=0}^n \binom{n}{n'} \lambda_{n',t-n'} f(d, h) < v!,$$

where

$$f(d, h) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq l < d \\ k-h \leq l \leq k}} \binom{k-n'}{l} \binom{k}{l} \binom{v-k}{k-n'-l} \\ l!(k-n'-l)!(v-k+n')!,$$

that is

$$\lambda_0 \sum_{n=0}^{s-1} \binom{s}{n} \binom{v}{n} \sum_{n'=0}^{s-1} \lambda_{n',t-n'} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq l < d \\ k-h \leq l \leq k}} \binom{v-k+n'}{k-l} \binom{k-n'}{l} < \binom{v}{k}.$$

Since any t -subset of X is contained in $\binom{v-t+s}{s}$ sets $X_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}$, it follows that (X, \mathcal{B}) is an

$$S_{\lambda} \binom{v-t+s}{s}(t, k, v+s). \quad \square$$

It is then easy to prove the following:

Corollary 1. *If there exists an $S_{\lambda}(t, k, v) \text{int}(d, k-h)$ such that*

$$\lambda_0 \sum_{n=0}^{s-1} \binom{s}{n} \binom{v}{n} \sum_{n'=0}^{s-1} \binom{n}{n'} \lambda_{n',t-n'} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq l < d \\ k-h \leq l \leq k}} \binom{v-k+n'}{k-l} \binom{k-n'}{l} \\ < \binom{v}{k},$$

then there exists an $S_{\lambda} \binom{v-t+s}{s}(t, k, v+s) \text{int}(d, k)$ having $\binom{v+s}{s}$ pairwise disjoint subdesigns $S_{\lambda}(t, k, v)$. Note that if $n' > t$, then $\lambda_{n',t-n'} = \lambda_t$.

The designs constructed by Theorem 5 and Corollary 1 will now be used to produce other designs:

Theorem 6. *If (X, \mathcal{B}) is an*

$$S_{\lambda} \left(\begin{smallmatrix} v-t+s \\ s \end{smallmatrix} \right) (t, k, v+s)$$

such that

- (i) $(X_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}, \mathcal{B}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s})$ is an $S_{\lambda}(t, k, v) \text{int}(d, k)$ for all $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s\}$,
- (ii) if $B \in \mathcal{B}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}$, $B' \in \mathcal{B}_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_s}$ and $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s\} \neq \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_s\}$, then $d \leq |B \cap B'| < k - n$,

then there exists an $S_{\lambda'}(t, k + m, v + s) \text{int}(d, k)$ where $\lambda' = \lambda \binom{v-t+s}{s} \binom{k+m}{t} / \binom{k}{t}$.

Proof. We will construct a new set of blocks \mathcal{B}' on the set X . The blocks of \mathcal{B}' are all the unions of a block of $\mathcal{B}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}$ with a block of the trivial $S(m, m, s)$ constructed on $\{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_s}\}$, for all choices of $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s\}$. In other words,

$$\mathcal{B}' = \{B \cup Y \mid B \in \mathcal{B}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}, Y \subset \{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_s}\}, |Y| = m \quad \forall \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s\}\}.$$

There are $\binom{v+s-t}{v-i} \binom{t}{i}$ sets $X_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}$ which intersect a given t -subset of X in i points. Therefore (X, \mathcal{B}') is a t -design, because any t -subset of X is contained in

$$\sum_{i=0}^t \binom{v+s-t}{v-i} \binom{t}{i} \lambda_i \binom{s-t+i}{m-t+i}$$

blocks of \mathcal{B}' . We have still to check that this design is simple. If C and C' are two blocks of \mathcal{B}' , $C = B \cup \{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$ where $B \in \mathcal{B}_{i_1 \dots i_s}$ and $\{y_1, \dots, y_m\} \subset \{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_s}\}$, and $C' = B' \cup \{y'_1, \dots, y'_m\}$ where $B' \in \mathcal{B}_{j_1 \dots j_s}$ and $\{y'_1, \dots, y'_m\} \subset \{x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_s}\}$. If $\{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_s}\} = \{x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, \dots, x_{j_s}\}$, then clearly $C \neq C'$ and $|C \cap C'| \geq d$ because $|B \cap B'| \geq d$. If $\{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_s}\} \neq \{x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}, \dots, x_{j_s}\}$, then

$$|B' \cap C| = |B' \cap B| + |B' \cap \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m\}| < (k - n) + m \leq k$$

and

$$|B' \cap C| = |B' \cap B| + |B' \cap \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m\}| \geq d.$$

Thus the design is simple and any two blocks intersect in at least d points. Moreover,

$$|\mathcal{B}'| = \binom{s}{m} |\mathcal{B}|,$$

and so

$$\frac{\lambda' \binom{v+s}{t}}{\binom{k+m}{t}} = \binom{s}{m} \lambda \frac{\binom{v+s}{t}}{\binom{k}{t}} \binom{v-t+s}{s},$$

that is

$$\lambda' = \lambda \frac{\binom{s}{m} \binom{v-t+s}{s} \binom{k+m}{t}}{\binom{k}{t}}. \quad \square$$

3. Resolvability and extension theorem

In this section, we will prove that the resolvability of the $S_\lambda(t, k, v)$ ($X_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}, \mathcal{B}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}$) can force the resolvability of the $S_{\lambda'}(t, k + m, v + s)$ (X, \mathcal{B}).

Theorem 7. *If (X, \mathcal{B}) is an*

$$S_\lambda\left(\begin{smallmatrix} v-t+s \\ s \end{smallmatrix}\right)(t, k, v + s)$$

such that

- (i) *for all choices of $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s\}$, $(X_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}, \mathcal{B}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s})$ is a resolvable $S_\lambda(t, k, v)$ having exactly q mutually orthogonal resolutions,*
- (ii) *if $B \in \mathcal{B}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}$, $B' \in \mathcal{B}_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_s}$ and $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s\} \neq \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_s\}$, then $d \leq |B \cap B'| < k - n$,*
- (iii) *$m|s$ and $s/m = v/k = u$,*
- (iv) *the unique trivial $S(m, m, s)$ has exactly q' mutually orthogonal resolutions,*

then there exists a resolvable $S_{\lambda'}(t, k + m, v + s)$ having at least qq' mutually orthogonal resolutions, with

$$\lambda' = \frac{\lambda \left(\begin{smallmatrix} v-t+s \\ s \end{smallmatrix}\right) \binom{s}{m} \binom{k+m}{t}}{\binom{k}{t}}.$$

Moreover, this design has exactly qq' mutually orthogonal resolutions if $d > 0$.

Proof. The existence of the $S_{\lambda'}(t, k + m, v + s)$ follows from Theorem 6. Whenever we choose a parallel class $\{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_u\}$ in one of the designs $(X_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}, \mathcal{B}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s})$ and a parallel class $\{B'_1, B'_2, \dots, B'_u\}$ in the $S(m, m, s)$ constructed on $\{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_s}\}$, we can construct a parallel class $\{B_1 \cup B'_1, B_2 \cup B'_2, \dots, B_u \cup B'_u\}$ in the $S_{\lambda'}(t, k + m, v + s)$. Using this argument, we can clearly construct qq' orthogonal resolutions. In order to construct another resolution, we must use a block of a design $(X_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s}, \mathcal{B}_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_s})$ and a block of a design $(X_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_s}, \mathcal{B}_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_s})$ with $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s\} \neq \{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_s\}$, which is possible only if $d = 0$. \square

We can now prove our extension theorem.

Proof of Theorem 4. If t is even and if $2(k + m) = v + s$, then using Theorem 3, the $S_{\lambda'}(t, k + m, v + s)$ of Theorem 7 is a resolvable $S_{\lambda''}(t + 1, k + m, v + s)$ with $\lambda'' = \lambda(k + m - t) \binom{v-t+s}{s} \binom{s}{m} \binom{k+m}{t} / (v + s - t) \binom{k}{t}$. \square

4. Applications

It is well-known (see for example [3]) that the existence of an Hadamard matrix of order $4a$, implies the existence of a resolvable $S_{2a-1}(2, 2a, 4a)$. Then the inequality of

Theorem 5 with $h = s = 2$ becomes

$$(8a-2) \sum_{n=0}^1 \binom{2}{n} \binom{4a}{n} \sum_{n'=0}^1 \binom{n}{n'} \lambda_{n', 2-n'} \sum_{l=2a-2}^{2a} \binom{2a+n'}{2a-l} \binom{2a-n'}{l} < \binom{4a}{2a},$$

that is

$$512a^7 + 192a^6 + 464a^5 - 88a^4 + 268a^3 - 182a^2 + 20a + 2 < \binom{4a}{2a}.$$

This inequality is clearly satisfied when a is sufficiently large. Therefore, if there exists an Hadamard matrix of order $4a$ with a sufficiently large, then there exists an $S_{2a(2a-1)(4a-1)}(2, 2a, 4a+2)$ satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 4, from which we deduce the existence of a resolvable $S_{(4a-1)(4a^2-1)}(3, 2a+1, 4a+2)$.

Note that an infinite number of Hadamard matrices is known and that all Hadamard matrices of order $4a$ with $a < 107$ exist. For a survey on this subject, see [4].

5. Uncited reference

[5,10]

References

- [1] W.O. Alltop, Extending t -designs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 18 (1975) 177–186.
- [2] B. Baudelet, M. Sebille, A generalization of a result of Tran van Trung on t -designs, J. Combin. Des. 7 (1999) 107–112.
- [3] T. Beth, D. Jungnickel, H. Lenz, Design Theory, Cambridge University Press, London, 1986.
- [4] R. Craigen, Hadamard matrices and designs, in: C.J. Colbourn, J.H. Dinitz (Eds.), CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs, CRC Press, Boca-Raton, FL, 1996, pp. 370–377.
- [5] M. Dehon, An existence theorem for simple t -designs, Discrete Math. 90 (1991) 137–142.
- [6] S.S. Magliveras, T.E. Plambeck, New infinite families of simple 5-designs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 44 (1987) 1–5.
- [7] L. Teirlinck, Non-trivial t -designs without repeated blocks exist for all t , Discrete Math. 65 (1987) 301–311.
- [8] T. van Trung, On the existence of an infinite family of simple 5-designs, Math. Z. 187 (1984) 285–287.
- [9] T. van Trung, Some existence theorems for t -designs, Discrete Math. 128 (1994) 337–348.
- [10] T. van Trung, A generalization of a theorem of Dehon for simple t -designs, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 9 (1996) 105–114.